Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/665,459	YOSHIDA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Vivian Chen	1773
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Vivian Chen, Exr</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Barth, Atty.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>12 July 2005</u>	Time: <u><i>PM</i></u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-49		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Mr. Barth gave authorization for Examiner's amendment to place 37, 46 for consistency).		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Volle		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)